Swiss agriculture, the WTO and the EU: Is there still any life in trade liberalization?

Dr. Isabelle Schluep Campo State Secretariat for Economic Affairs

23 June 2010

Disclaimer: The author speaks in her own capacity. The views expressed here do not necessarily reflect the views of SECO.

Outline of the presentation

- 1. New agricultural policy since 1992
- 2. New agricultural policy: taking stock
- 3. Trade liberalization: unfinished business (I-III)
- 4. Outlook
- 5. Discussion

1. New agricultural policy

1. New agricultural policy since 1992

- 1992: seventh report on agriculture: to gear Swiss agricultural policy towards European markets
- 1994: Uruguay Round Agreement on Agriculture, green box (allowing for direct payments)
- 1996: Swiss public confirmed support for agriculture (plebiscite); Article 104 on agriculture in constitution
- 1999: new agricultural law in force, based on Article in constitution

Decoupling: Transparency in agricultural policy



2. New agricultural policy: taking stock

2. New agricultural policy: taking stock

• After more than a decade:

Ecology & animal husbandry: great adaptation performance by agriculture

➢ Reforms: socially acceptable

Decoupling: far advanced, direct payments have reached "critical" level

Price competitiveness: improved but not enough

Efficient allocation of labor and capital & cost reduction: room for improvement

Impact on environment: less plant protection agents



Impact on environment: less fertilizer



Production: stable to increasing



From market price support to direct payments



Agricultural income: improved



Structural change: leveled off



Contribution of agriculture to GDP and labor (2008)



3. Agricultural trade liberalization (WTO): unfinished business I

Swiss agricultural trade policy in the context of the WTO



Dispersed tariff pattern



Binding overhang (bound above applied tariff rates)



From export subsidies to export taxes and export bans 2007/08



Source: USDA – Economic Research Service (ERS), May 2008, WTO 2010

3. Unfinished business II: tariff rate quotas

Divergent producer and consumer prices



Detrimental effects of quotas

- Quotas allow domestic firms to exert market power
- Under imperfect competition: impact of quotas and tariffs on market access differ
- Bhagwati (1965): Theorem of non-equivalence of tariffs and quotas

Quantitative restrictions, quotas and non tariff barriers, affect welfare far more than tariffs.

Krueger, A. (1973): Quotas and rent seeking behavior – waste of resources

Quotas generate rents. Strong incentives for quota holders to lobby for quantitative restrictions. Rent seeking causes welfare losses.



Tariff-rate quotas: Different cases of quota fill



What to do about TRQs ?

- TRQs with prohibitive out-of quota tariffs = Non-tariff barriers
 → Conversion of all TRQs into single tariffs
- Auctions are a "useful step" (Bergsten)
- Auction prices + in-quota tariffs lower than equivalent tariffs (empirical evidence)
 - \rightarrow 'Implicit' tariff reduction
- Conversion of TRQs into single tariffs:
 - to reduce imperfect competition and market power
 - To improve transparency and market access

TRQs in the WTO: from fragmentation to coherence

Current WTO-Modalities July 2008

Ambitious goals for tariff reduction But TRQs for "sensitive products"

 \rightarrow Loopholes to water down market access improvements

FRAGMENTATION

Alternative strategy: Elimination of TRQs

Auctioning TRQs and conversion into single tariffs

 \rightarrow Transparency and improved market access

 \rightarrow Tariff reduction formula considering 'implicit' tariff reduction



3. Unfinished business III: agriculture in free trade agreements

Opportunities: Access for new types of Swiss cheeses to the EU market in 2002



Liberalization of cheese market with EU

- Opportunities of market liberalization
 - Full market access for all types of cheese to the EU: 500 Million people
 - Losses of market shares of classical types of cheese (e.g. Emmental) fully compensated by new types of cheese
- Threats of trade liberalization
 - Domestic milk price coupled with EU price, imbalance with still protected dairy products (butter, powder) and inputs
 - Dilemma between milk price and market shares in domestic and EU markets
- Welfare effects of market opening:
 - Reduction of the consumer's burden
 - More competition in the domestic market: declining marketing margins in favor of consumers and farmers

Switzerland's network of free trade agreements



Agricultural products included in FTAs

4. Outlook

Liberalization of all agricultural trade with the EU

- 1. Positive welfare effects: losses of producer surplus will be by far compensated by the consumer surplus gains
- 2. Welfare gains of trade liberalization will support political acceptance to compensate farmers for their income losses
- 3. Strong opposition of farmers and their organizations against all approaches of trade liberalization (WTO and free trade agreement with the EU)
- 4. Furthers competitiveness of agriculture
- 5. Processing industries I: to counter outward processing (2012), reach scale economies
- 6. Processing industries II: necessary to compensate removal of export subsidies (2013)

5. Scenario for discussion

- A) What if WTO and ag-FTA with EU stuck for a long time?
 - unilateral trade policy measures (TRQs to single tariffs) to improve competitiveness & competition?
 - FTA's with third countries (evt. impact of EU's more comprehensive approach to ag-liberalization) to improve competitiveness & competition?
 - changes needed to agricultural policy beyond the ones envisaged in the development of the direct payment system ("WDZ") to improve competitiveness & competition?