





## nccr trade regulation trade and culture • a special pair in the 'trade and...' discussions over-politicised (even emotionally laden) discourse: trade versus culture · the pressure does not come from the developing countries, or from NGOs, it comes from developed countries a new instrument on 'culture': the 2005 UNESCO Convention on the protection and promotion of the diversity of cultural expressions forum-shopping: the WTO law does not provide sufficient space for domestic cultural measures 4 nccr trade regulation the trade and culture narrative the conflict originated under the umbrella of the GATT; it was not an institutional conflict between **UNESCO** and the WTO Uruguay Round (1986-1994): the cultural exception 'Agreement to disagree': all services sectors covered by the GATS but with built-in flexibilities very few commitments in the audiovisual services sector (Japan, New Zealand and the US) **note:** narrow scope of the trade and culture conflict in the WTO context - basically on audiovisual services

nccr trade regulation

## the UNESCO convention on cultural diversity

- hailed as a remarkable success in int'l treatymaking
- only 2 states voted against its adoption (US and Israel); 4 states abstained (Australia, Honduras, Liberia and Nicaragua)
- 18 March 2007 entry into force

(film, video, TV programmes, music).

 as of 8 February 2010, 105 states have ratified the Convention.

	1171-970

	nccr trade regulation 💽	
	UNESCO convention: an appraisal	
•	no real obligations for the State Parties	
	(best endeavour commitments)	
	an extensive block of rights no distinction between licit and illicit measures;	_
	no proportionality test	
•	fuzzy and/or undefined concepts	
•	no working 'conflict of law' provision	_
	substantive incompleteness no compulsory dispute settlement.	<u> </u>
	no compulsory dispute settlement.	
	nccr trade regulation	
Įυ	NESCO convention: anticipated impact	
•	legal	
•	political	
	<ul> <li>status quo preservation in audiovisual services</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>negative spillovers to other WTO themes;</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>intensified forum-shopping (mostly bilaterally and regionally); the example of digital trade.</li> </ul>	
	and regionally), the example of digital trade.	
	8	
	EES SEE STATE STAT	
	nccr trade regulation 🧿	
	culture and IP	
	substantive incompleteness of the Convention	
•	IPRs only in the preamble: recognising their	

'importance [...] in sustaining those involved in cultural creativity'; no further clarifications nor

IP: fully addressed in the Convention's drafts
 In their strongest version: subordinating trade and IP obligations to the Convention in the event that a state could demonstrate serious damage or threat to the cultural diversity.

obligations for the State Parties

115-913

	nccr trade regulation  and settled testing it completions in research	
	culture and IP	
ı	ambiguous relationship: possibilities for action	
	<ul> <li>the foremost rationale for IP protection is to foster creativity; but the system is far from perfect</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>deficiencies relate to the centrality of authorship, originality and mercantilism to the 'Western' IP model: numerous non-Western, collaborative or folkloric modes of production left unprotected</li> </ul>	
	the <b>temporary monopoly</b> of authors over their creations excludes the rest of the public	
	<ul> <li>no evidence of a direct correlation between IP and creativity (the 'creative play'; remix culture).</li> </ul>	
	creativity (the 'creative play'; remix culture).	
	IP and trade	
	the IP system was not always trade-related; only with establishment of the WTO	
	<ul> <li>post-TRIPS: a new narrative of IP – IP as a tool of economic growth – politically new framing of the issues as a matter of international competitiveness</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>highly proactive agenda of developed countries to enforce IP protection and to go TRIPs-plus and TRIPs-extra</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>the voices against come from developing countries and the civil society; the WIPO Development Agenda (symptomatic of the new relative power of developing</li> </ul>	
	countries on the negotiating scene).	
	IP and trade	
	WIPO Development Agenda:	
	<ul> <li>posits that strong IP protection does not consistently promote creative activity, facilitate technology transfer or accelerate development</li> </ul>	-
	<ul> <li>places the benefits of a rich and accessible public domain, national flexibilities in implementing IP treaty norms, A2K, UN development goals, and the need to balance the costs and benefits of IP protection within</li> </ul>	
	WIPO's central mission	
	• implementation?; impact on the IP regime complex	
	<ul> <li>too broad a scope; intrinsic connection to development</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>cultural diversity is one of the issues but not one of, the important ones.</li> </ul>	

nccr trade regulation 💿	
thank you.	
comments welcome at mira.burri@wti.org	
13	